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## Overview

This documentation folder describes a programming language called Circle. It is mostly about a diagram notation for visualizing program code.

There are explanations of how basic coding concepts are implemented in Circle language: concepts such as objects, classes, relations, interfaces, events, commands and parameters.

About 90% of these concepts are in an adequately finished state. Those are put at the beginning of the documentation. They draw a picture of what the language could look like and how the diagram notation is applied. About 10% of the concepts are not described as well. Those are put at the end.

Several experimental versions of a new computer programming language have been developed, but not yet a final one. Most of the documentation explains how the concepts will be implemented in a version yet to be programmed.

The documentation covers the following topics:

*Basic Diagram Elements*

Describes the basics of a diagram notation for visualizing code. Explains the basic elements that the diagrams are composed of, such as circles, triangles, crosses, squares and lines. This documentation explains what they mean in diagrams. Later in the documentation more details about the diagram notation will be covered, but these articles were put at the beginning of the documentation, because they are important original ideas about the diagram notation and had better be covered first. The articles are finished up quite well. A start was made at implementing the diagram language, that is to become version 3 of the new computer language.

### Objects

This documentation describes what objects are in computer technology and the most basic of concepts about objects. It also introduces drawing out objects using the diagram notation of the new computer language.

### Classes

This documentation explains the concept of *classes*. A class is a special object, that describes the characteristics and behavior of other objects. The other objects select the special object as their class and their behavior is then bound to the rules set by that class. Another synonym for class is *type*. The explanations inside this folder, about the most fundamental concepts, is finished and the diagram notation is also covered, but the concept of *static* is yet to be worked out.

### Relations

When an object connects to another object, a relation between the two objects is established. This documentation explains the concept of relations. Even though objects can relate to any arbitrary set of other objects, the concept is mostly about how *classes* set the rules for the kinds of relations objects can have. Relations between classes form a *model* of relations to which objects conform. The concept is fully worked out along with the diagram notation for it.

### System Objects

All systems are composed of *system objects*. The main purpose of system objects is to manage relations between objects. System objects are *actual* objects, that all other systems are based on. Most of the system objects are part of the *code base*.

System objects control *system aspects*, such as Class, Execution and Values. Aspects as such are controlled through system commands. Assignment commands are also system commands. An assignment command copies an aspect from one object to another.

The *System Objects* documentation covers connectors, connections and all the different notational forms that come with controlling system aspects.

### Commands

This documentation is about executable objects. Other synonyms for executable objects are *methods*, *procedures*, *routines*, *subs* and *functions*. In the new computer language all those executable objects are called *commands*, because that is a term that both novices and experts can relate to. That way everybody knows that we are talking about the same thing. In other programming languages, commands are not objects, but entirely separate constructs, that you can not really exchange with objects. But in the new computer language, commands are all implemented as objects, that just happen to be executable. However, commands do have special creation behavior, which is also covered in this documentation. Also, the concept of parameter value assignment is covered.

### Parameters

You may have a good conception of parameters in relation to commands. This documentation clarifies how parameters are no more than a relationbetween commands and objects. Also it is covered how parameters are assigned just before a command is executed. Also, it is covered how *command parameters* and *class methods* are completely interchangeable: one and the same concept. The diagram notation of commands and parameters is completely worked out.

### Globality

A globality is like a little world in which objects and commands live. A globality can be a site, a computer program, a library or any other kind of module. A globality groups together functionality or data. A globality is an object with a special property. Anything directly inside the globality can be directly referenced from anywhere within that globality. The concept and the notation are worked out in this documentation folder.

### Execution Control

Execution control statements control the execution flow of a program: the order in which things are executed. There are several execution control statements. Key examples of them are: If and For. All the execution control statements are covered in this documentation along with their diagram notation.

### Black Box

The concept of *Black Box* is also known as the concept of *Public and Private*. *Private* makes something only accessible inside the object. *Public* makes something accessible outside the object. That way, the inner workings of an object are hidden away and you only work with the input and output.

The code generator version of the the computer language (version 0.9) simply borrowed the public and private concept of the target programming language for which code was generated. The *generic module* version of the new computer language (version 2.0) did not have any black boxing yet at all. The black box implementation of the version to come is worked out in this documentation folder.

The main articles about black boxing are finished, but you will also find a lot of unfinished material at the end of the documentation, which might be worked out later. Nevertheless, the main idea is worked out completely, and it is clearly denoted which material is not finished.

The idea for the future is that *user* access control and *black boxing* access control will become a single concept of ‘what has access to what’, but that will not immediately be done at first. A simpler black box method is worked out first: one much like what you see in contemporary programming languages. However, this method is adapted to the new computer language, because the new computer language has a different view on objects and commands. Which commands get access to the private contents of which objects is less obvious, because a command is not part of a specific object or class. In fact, no command gets access to the private contents of an object, unless that command is a *friend* of the object. This creates a tighter bond between the command and the object. Another thing, that is different in the new computer language, is that commands are no more than objects. They are the same thing. This really impacts the way you think about black boxing.

### Interfaces

Up till now the idea of interfaces was fully left out of the stories. This documentation makes the concept of interfaces catch up with the rest of the documentation. The basic concept of interfaces is covered along with its diagram notation. Also covered are: interface assignment, interface reference and relations that form through the use of interfaces. Another concept, yet to be worked out, is how commands of an object are grouped by site: an object can have commands, defined on different sites, and the commands should be grouped by site in order to judge how reliable the commands are *(grouped by source)*. As interfaces can be applied to objects, interfaces can also be applied to *commands*.

At some point the idea was, to also cover all the different *uses* of interfaces. But the different uses of interfaces are adequately described by other literature. The focus will lie on explaining the raw concept of interfaces and their presence in the new computer language.

### Events

Events are notification calls. Call receivers subscribe to an event. The call sender will call the receivers whenever it feels like it. Another name for events that the world adopts, is *‘the observer pattern’*. The caller decides what the message looks like. The receiver has to supply a command, that has an interface, specified by the caller.

The concept of events is nothing more than a combination of constructs: an array of command references, a command interface and supporting the command interface, registering the command inside the array of command references and then calling all the commands in the array at specific times.

Even though it is just a combination of some other constructs, it is such an important concept, that the new computer language explicitly defines it as a sepate construct, following the footsteps of other programming environments, that did this as well.

The documentation will also show how events look in the diagram notation of the new computer language.

### Inheritance

This documentation folder covers the concept of inheritance. This concept has been present inside object oriented programming languages for decades. But the new computer language takes it a little bit further. In other languages one class can inherit from another class, taking over all its characteristics before the derived class gets extended or altered. Inheritance goes further than that in the new computer language. Next to *class inheritance* (the traditional form of inheritance), there is also *object inheritance*. This means, that one object takes over the characteristics of a specific other object. In fact, the other object melts together with the new object. When you change base members of the new object, the base object’s data also changes. This is callled *object inheritance*. There are even more forms of inheritance. A collection can be inherited from, so that a whole existing table of items can be extended with new columns. This is called *list inheritance*. A whole *system* can also inherit from another system, taking over all of its characteristics, and the derived system can be altered, augmented, specialized and extended. This is called *system inheritance*. If the original system gets changed, this may also change the derived systems. So basically: everything can be inherited from and this can render specialized versions of any class, object, collection or module.

Such specialization can be also be applied to *commands*. The inheritancedocumentation also covers otherconcepts in the area of *specialization* and *extension*. Inheritance is very closely related to the concept of *interfaces*.

Those were the *finished* documentation folders. Now follow the documentation folders, that are not finished yet. The descriptions below, however, *are* finished. The list begins with the easiest ones to finish. The tougher ones to finish are mentioned last.

### Type Control

Objects can relate to any arbitrary set of other objects. However, when you set the *class* of an object, the relations are bound to the rules of the class. This is a form of type control. Another form of type control is when you fix the interface of an object reference. This limits the types of objects you can assign to the object reference. Only objects with a class that supports the interface, can then be assigned.

Binding an object to more rules is also called *explicit* declaration. Not binding an object or object reference to rules is called *generic* declaration. Both concepts are *type control*.

Type control is so present inside programming languages, that it seems programming languages can not do without type control. But actually, it is easier to make a programming language without any type control in it, than to program type control into it. You would think, that when a programming language has to support classes and interfaces, it actually requires the programming language to have all sorts of extra possibilities. But in reality, all the possibilities are already present.

At first there is no type control at all. Anything can reference anything and this results in all sorts of possibilities, that things will go wrong in a program. Type control only enforces restrictions. When type controls is implemented inside the new computer language, it is like nothing extra is offered, but only the ability to impose more restrictions to the possiblities already offered.

This documentation folder contains only one document, that contains a stack-up of ideas yet to be turned into good documentation. It also contains type control as originally worked out in an older design of a diagram notation language.

### Object Resolution

Object resolution takes place when a reference to one object is blocked out by another object, shadowing or overriding the original object. (It also applies to commands or methods.) A reference is set to one object, but instead the reference is sort of inconsentiously gravitated towards another object. There are multiple situations in which object resolution takes place, such as: overriding, overloading, shadowing, ambiguity, implicit conversions and default members. At first it was thought, that these kinds of situations were due to ambiguity and the textual nature of code, but in the new computer language when you draw out the situation in a diagram, they turn out to be more like automatically detoured object references.

This documentation is not finished up yet. It contains a lot of ideas, that are not entirely described yet, that are yet to be turned into readable documentation.

### Conditions

You can set rules for what kind of values can be filled in into an object and conditions for starting a procedure. You can do this by adding code to a procedure or to a Set procedure for the assignment of a value. That code then makes sure, that when certain conditions are not met, the execution of the rest of the procedure is refused. This is the low-level way to specify conditions. However, conditions are such an important concept in programming, that they are defined as a separate construct inside the new computer language. Every value object and every command can define a set of conditions, that have to be met or the value will not be assigned or the command will not be executed. The documentation is not worked out yet, and only contains a couple of loose ideas.

*Object Order*

By default, objects inside a collection are not sorted. The *Object Order* concept should allow sorting a list, and also should provide a proper diagram notation for it. This documentation folder is just a small throw-together of ideas, yet to be turned into good documentation. *Object Order* was not implemented yet inside versions 0.9 and 2.0 of the new computer language, because it was not needed at the time yet.

### Uncategorized

This folder contains some articles, for which a proper place was not found yet. There are not many articles inside this documentation folder.

*Other Diagram Topics*

The diagram should be fully automatically drawn out. The metrics and positioning of the shapes and lines are automatically determined. This folder also covers the different kinds of ways you can express systematics. The basic ideas about a diagram notation were already covered at the beginning of the new computer language documentation, because it was important to quickly introduce those. The diagram expression is also explained throughout the code concepts documentation. This part of the documentation will cover the remaining topics about the diagram expression.

The idea behind expression in general is that a program’s systematics are not described by text code, but text code as well as the diagram notation are a mere expression of systematics, stored in a binary way as interlinked objects. Much of this documentation folder is a mere throw-together of ideas or pieces of text, that came out of previous descriptions of new computer programming languages.

Text code and diagram expression can be complemented by any other form of expression. Displaying data in tabular form is another form of expression. Expressing data and commands in a graphical windows user interface is also an alternative form of expression of systematics. Furthermore, certain objects have specialized expressions. For instance a sound object may be expressed by playing its sound, but not all objects can be expressed by playing it as sound. All expressions are considered alternative expressions of systematics, just like text code and diagram code.

### Summaries of Other Languages

Contains summaries of other languages, mainly C and C++, in the form of bulleted lists. They were made around the year 2004 during an analysis of the grammar of C and C++ to compare them to the new computer language.

*Previous Versions*

This folder contains previous versions of descriptions of a new computer programming language.

**Ideas**

*The texts below are loose ideas, yet to be turned into good documentation.*

*Other Programming Languages*

Looking at the 'Subtext' programming language

- 'Subtext' implies that this is always possible, but ofcourse underlying procedures could be

- 'Subtext' code when it grows is really bound to become unoverviewable.

- His assumption that humans are not good at abstract thinking is just bullshit. Humans do nothing else.

- If he would give his functions better identifiers, you would already be better off.

J Code

Analyse the features of F# and find a place for it in my system.

http://pro.tweakers.net/nieuws/49986/microsoft-stopt-functionele-taal-f-in-visual-studio.html

JJ

Caché bestrijkt vele gebieden al, waar Code een oplossing voor biedt. Het relationeel en object georienteerd unifyen, dan zit daar eigenlijk al bijna compleet in.

Afgeleide containment relaties niet, diagrammen niet en applicatie feature generatie niet, en aspecten niet (ik zal naast standaard aspecten van JJ, zorgen dan je customer concepten kunt introduceren.) extended inheritance niet, kiezen tussen geheugen en schrijf niet, speciale security niet.

Dat soort concepten niet, maar het hele idee relationeel en object georienteerd gelijk trekken wel. Behalve echt 1 taal maken van OO en SQL

Relaties ingaan in SQL statements in Cache, is precies de manier waarop ik het van plan was. But I don't think it takes relations and classes as the base of the system. It's either specify tables with foreign keys, or declare objects with sub objects in them. I don't know if the two counterparts of a relation are synchronized either.

Cache integreert wel al met bestaande grote standaarden, zoals ODBC, XML, SOAP. And it provides in importing data into it from other database systems.

Wat nog steeds wel origineel is, ook ten opzichte van Cache, is de visie om OO ondergeschikt te maken aan het relationele model. In OO - Relational oplossingen wordt in object-relational mapping, OO bovenop relationeel geplaatst. Chaché zet de OO benadering ook bovenaan, en de relationele benadering als handig alternatief.

*OO Versus Relational Database*

Ik zie ook beweringen op het internet, dat een OO data benadering geavanceerder is dan relationeel. Maar er zijn voordelen aan relationeel, waar dan niet meer over gesproken wordt.

Ik denk dat het ook een zaak is twee-kampen. Ik zie in beide methodes het licht.

Anderen vinden OO bijvoorbeeld het beste, en voegen hier relationeel aan toe, en andere mensen vinden relationeel het handigste, en voegen hier OO aan toe. Don’t want to consider

Je moet dus echt aansporen dingen in de objectstructuur te embedded en daar met recursie op te lossen, in plaats van EEN moeilijke procedure erlangs schrijven

*Other People’s Software*

Windows doesn't allow shortcuts with the same name in a folder even when the short cuts have different target types.

Oracle 8.0i

Zoek op in HTML for Dummies hoe je naar een 'bookmark' springt.

Ideas,

Ik heb het vermoeden, dat als we alle gegevensverwerkingen via webservices zouden laten lopen, dat de boel niet vooruit te branden is.

JJ

*Other*

Computer Language,

Referenties naar een copy functie wil je

ook niet in de in de copy command definitie zelf bijhouden.

Maar je zou wel de mogelijkheid willen hebben om te querien

welke kopieeracties er binnen een bepaald systeem zijn.

Je kunt altijd een ruwe sequentiele zoek-query uitvoeren op

een subsysteem.

Maar je wilt het misschien ook centraal bijhouden. Dan

zou je een filter index moeten kunnen maken,

maar een filter index gezet op een elders gedefinieerde

method of class.

Ik heb er toch best moeite mee, dat je

in een stuk diagram niet ziet wat er allemaal naar

een bepaald object verwijst, maar alleen waarnaar

de objecten in de diagram verwijzen.

O, wacht, dat gebeurt voor objecten wel, omdat

de gerelateerde objecten als sub objecten worden getoond.

Heen en weer relaties tussen objecten in principe gelijkwaardig.

Maar bij methods is het anders. Die hebben altijd een richting,

en de relatie terug is echt de backwards verwijzing.

Het is zeg maar een kwestie van 'belachelijk om allemaal bij te houden'.

Alleen soms wil je voor een definitie, die zijn referrers niet bijhoudt,

toch referrers bijhouden.

Eigenlijk moet dan een systeem de referrers naar een definitie van een

ander systeem bij kunnen houden.

Je maakt bij methods eigenlijk ook relaties tussen method definitions aan.

Die zouden dan ook referrers bij kunnen houden, en een gesynchroniseerde

relatie aan kunnen gaan.

JJ

Software System, General

An application of this new model, that is my software system, would be

for instance, that someone comes up with something that makes any lengthy

process pauzable, items in it skippable, etcetera. Just a handy concept

for handling lenghty processes.

A handy user could inherit an existing system and extend it with a new

concept, that was not applicable to the system yet.

I think in order for a system to be adaptable to new concepts, there

must be a set of standards to be upheld. Otherwise a program might be just

one big method, and that can not adopt new concepts.

So you have to impose design rules in order to make a program

adaptable to new concepts.

JJ

Software System

- Crap first

- Objects second

- Methods third

Not:

- Methods first

- Object second

- Crap third

JJ

Computer Language,

Windows Workflow raakvlakken met diagrammen.

JJ

Computer Language,

I saw something call speficying the structure of a program, rather than what happens step-by-step is called declarative programming, as opposed to imperative programming.

JJ

Computer Language,

I don't have ... for user program flow, for instance when using

multiple windows seemingly arbitrarily, but not...

And also not for workflow.

I don't know yet how workflow fits into the system.

Probably as internet threads.

JJ

Computer Language,

Ik wil gewoon dat workflow en methods die elkaar aanroepen gewoon hetzelfde concept zijn. Method stappen kunnen parallel lopen en seriele punten hebben en vertragingen en gezette tijden hebben.

JJ

Stereotyping

Perhaps another typing can be assigned to a relation, instead of containment. For instance: *ownership* or *usage*. Perhaps a few standard ones, and it may be possible to define your own typing by specifying a String.

JJ

Computer Language,

Dead links.

JJ

Collection,

In Collection kan je ook vanalles van verschillende modules van verschillende sites combineren, tot 1 home-page. Je hoeft dus niet te kiezen tussen sites, waar je een home-page op kunt maken. Je kunt zelf alles dat ze aanbieden met elkaar combineren, zonder al te veel heisa. Ook een leuk argument om het Collection te hoemen.

JJ

Computer Language,

Important statement to use: objects first, procedures second.

JJ

Computer Language,

People aren't realizing that a computer program IS a domain-specific language.

JJ

Computer Language,

Met diagrams in computer language wordt de systematiek echt zichtbaar.

Op het moment programmeren we eigenlijk allemaal blind en op de tast.

JJ

Computer Language,

The idea of object oriented is: objects first, procedures second.

JJ

Computer Language,

The diagram expression also makes it possible to

use hand signs in the air to draw out relations between objects,

in a technical story you try to explain.

JJ 2008-06-03

Computer Language,

De kracht van programmeertalen nu, zit hem niet in de taal zelf,

maar in het framework.

What makes programming languages today powerful,

is not the language itself, but the framework.

JJ

Computer Language,

2008-08-15

Other programming languages and diagram expressions:

http://www.obsolete.com/dug/sorcery/oop.htm

JJ

Computer Language,

Other programming languages and diagram expressions:

Leuke zoekterm om op te googlen:

"my own programming language"

JJ

Computer Language,

2008-08-15

Other programming languages and diagram expressions:

http://jolt-lang.org/

JJ

Single paradigm for all digital objects,

See the physical disk as an object.

See the IO on it,

and what source does IO on it.

Be able to see which reference has the most activity.

Be able to navigate through the system,

so that you can trace the source of the activity.

That way you not only fly through the internet,

and the applications, but you can also navigate through

the internal workings of your computer.

I guess I do want to see magnitude of activity

in the diagrams.

JJ

Computer Language,

2008-09-02

Some people seem to like isolated memory space.

But this is just for some protection that it gives.

Memory leaks only live inside a process.

When it is stopped, then the memory leaks

are released.

When something crashes, it is just that process, that crashes.

The rest keeps running.

But in my own system, the whole internet is really just one process.

So what needs to be done, is analyse the advantages

people think they can only get from isolated processes,

(advantages, that are probably only precautions for problems)

and see what solutions for those problems will be implemented

in my own system.

JJ

Computer Language,

2008-09-02

Google using a separate process for each tab in its

Google Chrome browser, is just a practical

solution, relatively easy to implement.

That's why process isolation offers a solution

to this problem. It is not, that with more effort,

a not-yet existing solution to this problem,

that does not use the isolation of processes,

would not offer a better or equally practical

solution, would products like that be finished

today and free to use.

JJ

Computer Language,

Ik ben me er wel van bewust,

dat een nieuwe programmeertaal,

of nieuwe manier van besturen van je computer,

een bijdrage is, niet een vervanging van alle

andere software. Daar zijn software giganten

veel te innovatief voor geworden.

JJ

Computer Language,

2008-08-23

The way it is right now, the system can not change the system as much as a user can change the system.

JJ

Computer Language Functional Design,

2008-08-31

Sometimes it is just clearer to have an article,

with diagrams in it straight away, without

any article with just textual explanations.

In the future, the whole form of the documentation

might change as such and have diagram expression

be more present in the conceptual explanations.

JJ

2008-11

The roles that symbols get when connecting them with lines.

JJ